

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau




(43) International Publication Date
24 January 2002 (24.01.2002)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 02/05750 A2

- (51) International Patent Classification⁷: **A61K**
- (21) International Application Number: PCT/US01/22853
- (22) International Filing Date: 19 July 2001 (19.07.2001)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (30) Priority Data:
60/219,198 19 July 2000 (19.07.2000) US
- (71) Applicant (*for all designated States except US*): **OS-TEOTECH, INC.** [US/US]; 51 James Way, Eatontown, NJ 07724 (US).
- (72) Inventors; and
- (75) Inventors/Applicants (*for US only*): **EDWARDS, Jean, T.** [US/US]; 9 Andsley Road, Hillsborough, NJ 08844 (US). **SCARBOROUGH, Nelson, L.** [US/US]; 430 River Road, Andover, MA 01810 (US). **MANRIQUE, Albert** [US/US]; 8 Winthrop Drive, Manalapan, NJ 07726 (US). **BODEN, Scott, D.** [US/US]; 2842 Cravey Drive, Atlanta, GA 30033 (US).
- (74) Agents: **DILWORTH, Peter, G.** et al.; Dilworth & Barrese, LLP, 333 Earle Ovington Boulevard, Uniondale, NY 11553 (US).
- (81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.
- (84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).
- Published:
— *without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report*
- For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.*

(54) Title: OSTEOIMPLANT AND METHOD OF MAKING SAME



(57) Abstract: An osteoimplant is provided which comprises a shaped, coherent, three-dimensional porous matrix of elongate demineralized bone particles, wherein said matrix possesses a bulk density of lower than about 0.3 g/cm³. The osteoimplant of the invention is highly absorbent and sponge/like in nature. Also provided herein are a method of fabricating the osteoimplant herein and a method of repairing and/or treating bone utilizing the osteoimplant.



WO 02/05750 A2

OSTEOIMPLANT AND METHOD OF MAKING SAME

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

5 1. Field of the Invention

This invention relates to a porous three-dimensional osteoimplant comprising a low density coherent matrix of bone particles and to a method for making the osteoimplant. The osteoimplant maintains its shape and cohesiveness upon absorption of fluid. The porous, absorbent osteoimplant of the invention can possess any desired shape, e.g., square or
10 rectangular blocks, cylinders, wedges, and the like, and in accordance with a preferred embodiment, is provided with one or more cavities which can be filled with an osteogenic material which promotes and/or accelerates new bone formation at the site of implantation. Such cavities prevent the loss or migration of the osteogenic material away from the implantation site.

15 2. Description of the Related Art

Shaped or cut bone segments that can optionally be rendered to be osteoinductive via demineralization have been used extensively to solve various medical problems in human and animal orthopedic surgical practice and their application has also extended to the fields of, e.g., cosmetic and reconstructive surgery, dental reconstructive surgery, podiatry,
20 orthopaedics, neurosurgery and other medical fields involving surgery of hard tissues. The use of autograft bone (where the patient provides the source), allograft bone (where another individual of the same species provides the source) or xenograft bone (where another individual of a different species provides the source) is well known in both human and veterinary medicine. In particular, transplanted bone is known to provide support, promote
25 healing, fill bony cavities, separate bony elements (such as vertebral bodies), promote fusion

(where bones are induced to grow together into a single, solid matrix), or stabilize the sites of fractures. More recently, processed bone has been developed into shapes for use in new surgical applications, or as new materials for implants that were historically made of non-biologically derived materials.

5 Allograft bone is known to have osteoconductive and osteoinductive capabilities, although the osteoinductive properties are limited because of the necessary tissue sterilizing and cleaning procedures associated with harvesting these bone grafts. The term osteoconduction refers to the capability of a three-dimensional material to conduct the ingrowth of new living bone into and around its structure. The term osteoinduction refers to
10 the capability of recruiting pluripotent cells of the patient and promoting their differentiation into osteoblasts, which are bone forming cells. An osteoinductive material will typically form bone if implanted into living tissue where bone would not normally be found. For example, the placement of demineralized bone powder into the muscle of a patient will result in ectopic (outside of bone) bone formation.

15 U.S. Patent No. 5,507,813 describes a surgically implantable sheet formed from elongate bone particles, optionally those that have been demineralized. The sheet may further contain biocompatible ingredients, adhesives, fillers, plasticizers, etc. The osteoinductive sheet is rigid and relatively strong when dry and flexible and pliable when wetted or hydrated. These sheets are sold under the tradename Grafton®Flex (Osteotech,
20 Inc., Eatontown, New Jersey, USA). These sheets must be wetted/hydrated prior to use in order to render the dense matted sheets useful for implantation.

 U.S. Patent No. 4,932,973 describes an artificial organic bone matrix with holes or perforations extending into the organic bone matrix. The holes or perforations are indicated to be centers of cartilage and bone induction following implantation of the bone matrix into
25 living tissue.

U.S. Patent No. 4,394,370 discloses a one-piece sponge-like bone graft material fabricated from fully demineralized bone powder or microparticulate bone, and reconstituted collagen. The sponge-like graft is optionally crosslinked with glutaraldehyde.

Another one-piece porous implant is described in U.S. Patent No. 5,683,459. The
5 implant is made up of a biodegradable polymeric macrostructure composed of chemotactic ground substances such as hyaluronic acid.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It is an object of the invention to provide an osteoimplant which exhibits both osteoconductive and osteoinductive properties.

10 It is an object of the invention to provide an osteoimplant fabricated from a low density, coherent, three-dimensional matrix of demineralized bone particles wherein the osteoimplant is capable of being formed into a wide variety of shapes not limited by the original shape of the bone(s) from which the particles are derived.

It is an object of the invention to provide a low density osteoimplant which possesses
15 an open pore structure which allows the osteoimplant to readily absorb fluids such as blood and yet still retain its original shape.

It is an object of the invention to provide a low density osteoimplant fabricated from bone particles which is flexible when dry and which may be implanted while in the dry state.

It is a further object of the invention to provide a method of making a low density
20 osteoimplant possessing the aforementioned characteristics.

It is yet an even further object of the invention to provide a method of repairing a bone defect which utilizes a low density osteoimplant possessing the aforementioned characteristics.

These and other objects of the invention are met by an osteoimplant which comprises
25 a shaped, coherent, three-dimensional porous matrix of elongate demineralized bone

particles, wherein said matrix possesses a bulk density of lower than about 0.3 g/cm^3 . The open pore structure of the osteoimplant of the invention is highly absorbent and sponge-like in nature. The osteoimplant is flexible when dry (i.e., when containing less than about 5 weight percent water) and does not require time consuming rehydration prior to implantation.

5 It may assume any desired shape and/or configuration and may be cut, e.g., with surgical scissors, before and/or after the implant has absorbed fluid. Even in the wetted/hydrated state, the osteoimplant of the invention maintains its original shape and coherency, and can be handled with ease by the medical practitioner. The osteoimplant of the invention represents a significant advance in the field of bone grafts because due to its low density and open pore
10 structure it is both highly osteoconductive and functionally versatile and due to its demineralized bone content it exhibits excellent osteoinductivity. Osteoinductivity can be conveniently quantified as the amount of bone formed in an ectopic site in an athymic nude rat. Scores are rated 0 to 4. The osteoimplants of the invention exhibit osteoinductivities of at least 2, typically greater than 3, when measured in an athymic rat assay as described in
15 Edwards JT, Diegmann MH, Scarborough NL, Osteoinduction of Human Demineralized Bone: Characterization in an Animal Model, Clin. Orthop. Rel. Res. 357:219-228 (1998) (described in detail in Example 4 hereinbelow).

The osteoimplant of the invention can be combined with a wide variety of biocompatible substances which can be introduced into the porous matrix of the osteoimplant
20 and/or into large cavities, depressions, and the like, produced in the osteoimplant. Thus, the implant herein functions as a highly effective carrier and/or delivery vehicle for bone-growth inducing and/or otherwise medically useful substances.

Further provided herein is a method of fabricating the osteoimplant herein which comprises providing a quantity of elongate demineralized bone particles, mixing the elongate
25 demineralized bone particles with a wetting agent comprising water to provide a liquid

composition containing from about 5 to about 40 volume percent swollen, hydrated bone particles, placing the liquid composition in a mold, heating the liquid composition in the substantial absence of pressure at a temperature above 35°C for a period of time sufficient to remove water present in the wetting agent to provide an osteoimplant comprising a shaped, coherent, three-dimensional porous matrix of elongate demineralized bone particles wherein
5 said matrix possesses a bulk density of lower than about 0.3 g/cm³.

Further provided in accordance with the invention is a method of repairing and/or treating bone comprising implanting at a bone repair site an osteoimplant which comprises a shaped, coherent, three-dimensional porous matrix of elongate demineralized bone particles,
10 wherein said matrix possesses a bulk density of lower than about 0.3 g/cm³. The osteoimplant of the invention can be applied to virtually any bone repair site in the body and can be utilized alone or in combination with one or more adjunct medical devices and/or procedures. The osteoimplant of the invention finds particular utility in the areas of dental reconstructive surgery and spinal fusion where substantial amounts of body fluid, e.g., saliva
15 and/or blood, are frequently encountered, or where autograft (e.g., local bone, marrow or iliac crest, etc.) is incorporated in the osteoimplant. The unique ability of the low density porous osteogenic implant to absorb such body fluids and yet still retain its original shape represents a significant advance in the medical field.

20 **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

Fig. 1 is a photograph which depicts several non-limiting embodiments of the osteoimplant of the invention in various shapes and sizes;

Fig. 2 is a photograph of several osteoimplants of the invention possessing a generally cylindrical configuration;

25 Fig. 3 is a photograph of an osteoimplant produced in accordance with the teachings

of the present disclosure wherein the implant is provided with a preformed cavity or depression;

Fig. 4 generally depicts a mold which can be utilized in the fabrication of an osteoimplant such as that depicted in Fig. 3.

5 **DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS**

In order to better understand the disclosure herein, including the claims and the various figures, the following is a partial glossary of terms and expressions intended to be non-limiting and understood in their broadest sense. Such terms and expressions are also intended to refer to any and all phrases of like import. Definitions of terms and expressions
10 utilized herein are also found elsewhere in this disclosure and may not be present in the glossary of terms below.

The term "osteoimplant" as utilized herein is intended to refer to any device or material for implantation in living tissue that aids or augments bone formation or healing, including the induction of bone formation in soft tissue, or within other implant devices such
15 as spinal cages. Osteoimplants are most often applied at a bone defect site, e.g., one resulting from injury, defect brought about during the course of surgery, infection, malignancy or developmental malformation. Therefore, such "osteoimplants" are envisioned as being suitably sized and shaped as required for use in a wide variety of orthopedic, neurosurgical, and oral and maxillofacial surgical procedures such as the repair of simple and compound
20 fractures and non-unions, external and internal fixations, joint reconstructions such as arthrodesis, general arthroplasty, deficit filling, discectomy, laminectomy, anterior cervical and thoracic operations, spinal fusions, etc. Therefore, the osteoimplants utilized herein are intended for implantation at a bony site and are made of elongate demineralized bone particles optionally in combination with any biocompatible material(s), e.g., bone or bone
25 particles not possessing an elongate configuration, biocompatible synthetic materials,

combinations thereof, etc, and may be designed for either animal or human use. The term “osteoinplant” herein is therefore utilized in its broadest sense and is not intended to be limited to any particular shapes, sizes, configurations, or applications.

The term “biocompatible” and expressions of like import shall be understood to refer to those materials which elicit no unacceptable detrimental biological responses in the recipient in which they are implanted. Thus, implants or osteoinplants which elicit acceptable, mild, transient inflammation and/or granulation responses are considered biocompatible.

The term “osteogenic” as utilized herein shall be understood as referring to the ability of an osteoinplant to enhance or accelerate the growth of new bone tissue by one or more mechanisms such as osteogenesis, osteoconduction and or osteoinduction.

The term “shaped” as applied to the matrix of elongate demineralized bone particles herein refers to a determined or regular form or configuration, in contrast to an indeterminate or vague form or configuration (as in the case of a lump or other solid matrix of no special form) and is characteristic of such materials as sheets, plates, disks, cones, pins, screws, tubes, teeth, bones, portion of bone, wedges, cylinders, threaded cylinders, and the like, as well as more complex geometric configurations.

The term “coherent” as applied to the matrix of elongate demineralized bone particles refers to the ability of the bone particles to adhere to each other either mechanically, e.g., by entanglement, or by use of a biocompatible binder or adhesive whether the shaped material is in the dry or wetted, e.g., hydrated, state.

The expression “three-dimensional” refers to the ability of the matrix of elongate demineralized bone particles to assume any desired shape and/or configuration.

The expression “open pore structure” as it applies to the matrix of elongate demineralized bone particles shall be understood as referring to the low density absorbent

sponge-like nature of the matrix in which there are a plurality of accessible pores or openings which are present throughout the entire volume of the matrix.

The term "incorporation" utilized herein refers to the biological mechanism whereby host tissue gradually replaces the osteoimplant of the invention with native host bone tissue.

5 This phenomenon is also known in the scientific literature as "bone remodeling" or "cellular based remodeling" and "wound healing response". Therefore, the term "incorporation" utilized herein shall be understood as embracing what is known by those skilled in the art as the various expressions set forth above.

The expression "further treatment" as utilized herein refers to procedures such as, e.g.,
10 lyophilizing, cross-linking treatment, re-mineralization, sterilization, etc., performed either before, during or after the step of heating the liquid composition as well as post process procedures such as, e.g., machining, laser etching, welding, assembling of parts, cutting, milling, reactive etching, etc.

The osteoimplant of this invention comprises a coherent matrix of elongate
15 demineralized bone particles possessing a bulk density of less than about 0.3 g/cm^3 . The elongate demineralized bone particles form a continuous three-dimensional matrix possessing an open pore structure. The matrix readily absorbs fluids, such as body fluids (e.g. blood or marrow) into its void volume. Upon absorption of fluids, the porous osteoimplant maintains its shape and cohesiveness. Elongate bone particles utilized herein possess relatively high
20 medium length to medium thickness ratios. Such elongate bone particles can be readily obtained by any one of several methods, e.g., by milling, shaving or planing the surface of an entire bone or relatively large section of bone. Employing a milling technique, one can obtain a matrix of elongate bone particles containing at least about 60 weight percent, preferably at least about 70 weight percent, and most preferably at least about 80 weight
25 percent of elongate bone particles possessing a median length of greater than about 1 mm to

greater than 200 mm and preferably from about 10 to about 100 mm, and most preferably from about 15 mm to about 50 mm, a median thickness of from about 0.05 to about 2 mm, and preferably from about 0.2 to about 1 mm and a median width of from about 1 mm to about 20 mm, and preferably from about 2 to about 5 mm. These elongate bone particles can possess a median length to median thickness ratio of at least about 5:1 up to about 500:1 or more, and preferably from about 50:1 to about 100:1, and a median length to median width ratio of from about 10:1 and about 200:1, and preferably from about 50:1 to about 100:1. Another procedure for obtaining elongate bone particles, particularly useful for pieces of bone of up to about 100 mm in length, is the bone processing mill described in commonly assigned U.S. Patent No. 5,607,269. Use of this bone mill results in the production of long, thin strips which quickly curl lengthwise to provide tubular-like bone particles. If desired, elongate bone particles can be graded into different sizes (e.g. by sieving) to reduce or eliminate any less desirable size(s) of particles which may be present. In overall appearance, elongate bone particles can be described as filaments, fibers, threads, slender or narrow strips, etc.

At least about 50 weight percent, more preferably at least about 60 weight percent, and most preferably at least about 90 weight percent of the bone particles present in the matrix of the osteoimplant herein are elongate. The balance of the bone particles can possess a wide range of dimensions, e.g., powders, chips, etc. The elongate bone particles form a coherent three-dimensional matrix which imparts cohesion, porosity and absorbency to the osteoimplant.

The bone particles utilized in the fabrication of the osteoimplant herein are demineralized in accordance with known and conventional procedures in order to reduce their inorganic mineral content. Demineralization methods remove the inorganic mineral component of bone by employing acid solutions. Such methods are well known in the art,

see for example, Reddi et al., *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* 69, pp 1601-1605 (1972), incorporated herein by reference herein. The strength of the acid solution, the shape of the bone particles and the duration of the demineralization treatment will determine the extent of demineralization. Reference in this regard may be made to Lewandrowski et al., *J. Biomed*
5 *Materials Res*, 31, pp 365-372 (1996), also incorporated herein by reference.

In a preferred demineralization procedure, the bone particles are subjected to an acid demineralization step followed by a defatting/disinfecting step. The bone particles are immersed in acid over time to effect their demineralization. Acids which can be employed in this step include inorganic acids such as hydrochloric acid and organic acids such as peracetic
10 acid.

A preferred defatting/disinfectant solution is an aqueous solution of ethanol, the ethanol being a good solvent for lipids and the water being a good hydrophilic carrier to enable the solution to penetrate more deeply into the bone particles. The aqueous ethanol solution also disinfects the bone by killing vegetative microorganisms and viruses.
15 Ordinarily, at least about 10 to 40 percent by weight of water (i.e., about 60 to about 90 weight percent of defatting agent such as alcohol) should be present in the defatting disinfecting solution to produce optimal lipid removal and disinfection within the shortest period of time. The preferred concentration range of the defatting solution is from about 60 to about 85 weight percent alcohol and most preferably about 70 weight percent alcohol. The
20 treated demineralized bone particles are rinsed with sterile water to remove residual amounts of acid and thereby raise the pH. The wet demineralized elongate bone particles can then be stored under aseptic conditions, advantageously in a lyophilized state, for processing at a later time. As an alternative to aseptic processing and storage, the particles can be sterilized using known methods, e.g., gamma irradiation.

25 As utilized herein, the phrase "superficially demineralized" as applied to the bone

particles refers to bone particles possessing at least about 90 weight percent of their original inorganic mineral content. The phrase “partially demineralized” as applied to the bone particles refers to bone particles possessing from about 8 to about 90 weight percent of their original inorganic mineral content, and the phrase “fully demineralized” as applied to the bone particles refers to bone particles possessing less than about 8, preferably less than about 1, weight percent of their original inorganic mineral content. The unmodified term “demineralized” as applied to the bone particles is intended to cover any one or combination of the foregoing types of demineralized bone particles.

Superficial or partial demineralization produces particles containing a mineralized core. Particles of this type increase the density and rigidity of the osteoimplant, through their mineralized core. These particles also play a biological role in bringing about new bone ingrowth by osteoinduction. Full demineralization produces particles in which nearly all of the mineral content has been removed from the particles. Particles treated in this way also contribute to the osteoinductivity of the osteoimplant. Nondemineralized bone particles act as a stiffener, providing density and rigidity to the osteoimplant. Non-demineralized bone particles also play a biological role in bringing about new bone ingrowth by the process of osteoconduction. Thus, these bone particles are gradually remodeled and replaced by new host bone as incorporation of the osteoimplant progresses over time.

When prepared from bone particles that are almost exclusively fully and/or partially demineralized, the osteoimplant of the invention will be flexible and elastic. When particles that are nondemineralized and/or superficially demineralized are utilized in combination with fully and/or partially demineralized bone particles, the osteoimplant will increase in stiffness and rigidity. Thus, the use of combinations of different bone particles can be used to produce osteoimplants possessing properties, i.e., density, rigidity, osteoconductivity and/or osteoinductivity, etc. that are tailored to specific applications. The amount of each individual

type of bone particle employed can vary widely depending on the mechanical and biological properties desired. Generally, the volume ratio of nondemineralized and/or superficially demineralized bone particles to partially and/or fully demineralized bone particles can broadly range from about 0:100 to about 40:60. Suitable amounts can be readily determined
5 by those skilled in the art on a case-by-case basis by routine experimentation.

If desired, the bone particles can be modified in one or more ways, e.g., their protein content can be augmented or modified as described in U.S. Patent Nos. 4,743,259 and 4,902,296, the contents of which are incorporated by reference herein.

The demineralized elongate bone particles are then combined with a wetting agent
10 described hereinbelow to produce a composition containing from about 5 to about 40, preferably from about 10 to about 25, volume percent elongate demineralized bone particles, the remainder of the volume of the composition comprising wetting agent optionally in combination with one or more biocompatible components such as biocompatible binders, fillers, fibers, plasticizers, biostatic/biocidal agents, surface active agents, bioactive agents,
15 and the like (further described hereinbelow). The wetting agent will cause the demineralized elongate bone particles to swell and increase in flexibility. The composition will possess a consistency ranging from a slurry or paste to a wet dough, depending on the amount of wetting agent used. The critical aspect is that the elongate bone particles be suspended in and evenly distributed throughout the wetting agent. This is to be contrasted with the “wet
20 laying” procedure of commonly assigned U.S. Patent No. 5,507,813, in which wetting agent is substantially removed to produce a dense mat of bone particles.

The composition is typically formed by mixing bone particles and wetting agent to form a liquid slurry, stirring the slurry for a suitable period of time sufficient to allow the wetting agent to penetrate the demineralized elongate bone particles, and removing enough
25 wetting agent, e.g., by draining through a sieve, sufficient to provide a composition

containing from about 5 to about 40, preferably from about 10 to about 25, volume percent bone particles.

Suitable wetting agents typically comprise water and may optionally further include biocompatible liquids such as organic protic solvent, aqueous solution such as physiological saline, concentrated saline solutions, sugar solutions, ionic solutions of any kind, and liquid polyhydroxy compounds such as glycerol and glycerol esters, polyoxyalkylenes (e.g., Pluronic®), and mixtures thereof.

Optionally, the wetting agent can comprise dissolved or admixed therein one or more biocompatible substances such as biocompatible binders, fillers, plasticizers, biostatic/biocidal agents, surface active agents, bioactive substances, etc, as disclosed in commonly-assigned published International Application WO 00/50102, the contents of which are incorporated herein.

Suitable binders include cyanoacrylates, epoxy-based compounds, dental resin sealants, dental resin cements, calcium phosphate and calcium sulfate self-setting cements, glass ionomer cements, polymethyl methacrylate, gelatin-resorcinol-formaldehyde glues, protein and collagen-based glues, acrylic resins, cellulosics, bioabsorbable polymers such as polyglycolide, polylactide, glycolide-lactide copolymers, polycaprolactone, polyanhydrides, polycarbonates, polyorthoesters, polyamino acids, polyarylates, polycyanoacrylates, polyhydroxybutyrate, polyhydroxyvalyrate, polyphosphazenes, and polyvinylpyrrolidone, etc.

Suitable fillers include bone powder, demineralized bone powder, porous calcium phosphate ceramics, hydroxyapatite, tricalcium phosphate, Bioglass® and other calcium phosphate materials, calcium sulfate or calcium carbonate particles, etc.

Suitable plasticizers include liquid polyhydroxy compounds such as glycerol, monoacetin, diacetin, hydrogels, etc.

Suitable biostatic/biocidal agents include antibiotics, povidone, sugars, mucopolysaccharides, etc.

Suitable surface active agents include the biocompatible nonionic, cationic, anionic and amphoteric surfactants.

5 It will be understood by those skilled in the art that the foregoing list is not intended to be exhaustive and that other materials may be employed such as those disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 5,073,373, the contents of which are incorporated by reference herein.

Bioactive substances include physiologically or pharmacologically active substances that act locally or systemically in the host. Representative classes of bioactive factors which
10 can be readily combined with the bone particles include, e.g., trophic factors, analgesics, anti-cancer agents, vaccines, adjuvants, antibodies, neuroleptics, genes and genetic elements for transfection, cells or cellular components, etc. A list of more specific examples would therefore include, collagen, insoluble collagen derivatives, etc., and soluble solids and/or liquids dissolved therein, e.g., antiviricides, particularly those effective against HIV and
15 hepatitis; antimicrobials and/or antibiotics such as erythromycin, bacitracin, neomycin, penicillin, polymycin B, tetracyclines, biomycin, chloromycetin, and streptomycins, cephalosporins, ampicillin, azactam, tobramycin, clindamycin and gentamicin, etc.; biocidal/biostatic sugars such as dextran, glucose, etc.; amino acids, peptides, vitamins, inorganic elements, co-factors for protein synthesis; hormones; endocrine tissue or tissue
20 fragments, synthesizers; enzymes such as collagenase, peptidases, oxidases, etc., polymer cell scaffolds with parenchymal cells, angiogenic drugs and polymeric carriers containing such drugs; collagen lattices; antigenic agents; cytoskeletal agents; cartilage fragments, modified living cells such as chondrocytes, bone marrow cells, mesenchymal stem cells, natural extracts, genetically engineered living cells or otherwise modified living cells, DNA
25 delivered by plasmid or viral vectors, genes or genetic elements, tissue transplants,

demineralized bone powder, autogenous tissues such as blood, serum, soft tissue, bone marrow, etc.; bioadhesives; non-collagenous proteins such as osteopontin, osteonectin, bone sialo protein, laminin, fibrinogen, vitronectin, thrombospondin, proteoglycans, decorin, beta glycan, biglycan, aggrecan, versican, tenascin, matrix gla protein, hyaluronan, amino acids, amino acid residues, peptides, bone morphogenic proteins (BMPs); osteoinductive factor (OIF); fibronectin (FN); endothelial cell growth factor (ECGF); cementum attachment extracts (CAE); ketanserin; human growth hormone (HGH); animal growth hormones; epidermal growth factor (EGF); interleukin-1 (IL-1); human alpha thrombin; transforming growth factor (TGF-beta); insulin-like growth factor (IGF-1) (IGF-2); platelet derived growth factors (PDGF); fibroblast growth factors (FGF, bFGF, etc.); periodontal ligament chemotactic factor (PDLGF); somatotropin; bone digestors; antitumor agents; immuno-suppressants; permeation enhancers, e.g., fatty acid esters such as laurate, myristate and stearate monoesters of polyethylene glycol, enamine derivatives, alpha-keto-aldehydes, etc.; and nucleic acids; inorganic elements, inorganic compounds, cofactors for protein synthesis, hormones, soluble and insoluble components of the immune system; soluble and insoluble receptors including truncated forms; soluble, insoluble and cell surface bound ligands including truncated forms; chemokines, bioactive compounds that are endocytosed; endocrine tissue or tissue fragments, growth factor binding proteins, e.g., insulin-like growth factor binding protein (IGFBP-2) (IGFBP-4) (IGFBP-5) (IGFBP-6); angiogenic agents, bone promoters, cytokines, interleukins, genetic material, genes encoding bone promoting actions, cells containing genes encoding bone promoting action; growth hormones such as somatotrophin; bone digestors; antitumor agents; cellular attractants and attachment agents; immunosuppressants; bone resorption inhibitors and stimulators; angiogenic and mitogenic factors; bioactive factors that inhibit and stimulate secondary messenger molecules; cell adhesion molecules, e.g., cell-matrix and cell-cell adhesion molecules; secondary

messengers, monoclonal antibodies specific to cell surface determinants on mesenchymal stem cells, clotting factors; externally expanded autograft or xenograft cells, nucleic acids and any combination thereof. The amounts and types of such optionally added substances can vary widely with optimum levels and combinations being readily determined in a specific case by routine experimentation.

Preferred wetting agents for forming the wetted matrix of bone particles include mixtures/solutions of water and liquid polyhydroxy compounds and their esters, and and/or surface active agents. The preferred polyhydroxy compounds possess up to about 12 carbon atoms and, where their esters are concerned, are preferably the monoesters and diesters.

Specific polyhydroxy compounds of the foregoing type include glycerol and its monoesters and diesters derived from low molecular weight carboxylic acids, e.g., monoacetin and diacetin (respectively, glycerol monoacetate and glycerol diacetate), ethylene glycol, diethylene glycol, triethylene glycol, 1,2-propanediol, trimethylolethane, trimethylolpropane, pentaerythritol, sorbitol, polyoxyalkylenes, e.g., Pluronic[®], and the like. Of these, glycerol is especially preferred as it improves the handling characteristics of the bone particles wetted therewith and is biocompatible and easily metabolized. Most preferred are glycerol/water solutions in weight ratios ranging from about 40:60 to about 5:95, respectively. Mixtures of polyhydroxy compounds or esters, e.g., sorbitol dissolved in glycerol, glycerol combined with monoacetin and/or diacetin, etc., are also useful.

Where, in a particular composition, the bone particles have a tendency to quickly or prematurely separate or to otherwise settle out from the wetted matrix such that application of a fairly homogeneous composition is rendered difficult or inconvenient, it can be advantageous to include within the composition a substance whose thixotropic characteristics prevent or reduce this tendency. Thus, e.g., where the wetting agent is water and/or glycerol and separation of bone particles occurs to an excessive extent where a particular application

is concerned, a thickener such as a solution of polyvinyl alcohol, polyvinylpyrrolidone, cellulosic ester such as hydroxypropyl methylcellulose, carboxy methylcellulose, pectin, xanthan gum, food-grade texturizing agent, gelatin, dextran, collagen, starch, hydrolyzed polyacrylonitrile, hydrolyzed polyacrylamide, polyelectrolyte such as polyacrylic acid salt, hydrogels, chitosan, other materials that can suspend particles, etc., can be combined with the wetting agent in an amount sufficient to significantly improve the suspension-keeping characteristics of the composition.

The composition is next placed in a mold possessing any desired shape or configuration. Fig. 4 depicts mold 10 and lid 20 for mold 10, lid 20 possessing protruding indentations 30. The mold can be optionally configured and dimensioned in the shape of the final osteoimplant. Care must be taken to ensure that minimal, if any, pressure is applied to the composition which would effect compaction of the bone particles. This is in contradistinction to the wet-lay procedure described in U.S. Patent No. 5,507,813. The composition is heated in the mold at a temperature above about 35°C, preferably from about 35°C to about 70°C, more preferably from about 40°C to about 50°C for a suitable period of time, e.g., from about 3 to about 4 hours, to effect removal of water. The resulting material comprises a shaped, coherent, three-dimensional porous matrix of elongate demineralized bone particles, wherein said matrix possesses a bulk density of less than about 0.3 g/cm³. The bulk density of the implant will typically range from about 0.01 to about 0.3, preferably from about 0.05 to about 0.2 g/cm³. Following the heating step, the shaped material is lyophilized, e.g., using a shelf temperature of from about -20° to about -70°C, a vacuum of from about 150 to about 100 mTorr at a time of from about 4 to about 48 hours. Lyophilization improves the long term stability of the implant and eliminates the need for special preservation steps such as freezing or the use of preservatives. The resulting lyophilized material will comprise less than about 5 weight percent water and is porous,

absorbent, does not require hydration for pliability and clinical use, and maintains its shape and cohesiveness upon absorption of fluid.

Optionally, the bone particles can be cross-linked in accordance with well known techniques, e.g., those disclosed in the aforementioned International Application WO 00/50102, incorporated by reference herein.

In accordance with a preferred embodiment, the osteoimplant of the invention is combined with a flowable osteogenic material such as autologous bone graft, bone marrow aspirate, demineralized bone matrix (DBM), bone morphogenic protein (BMP), and the like. In a preferred embodiment, the osteoimplant is provided with one or more cavities or depressions which can be filled with the flowable osteogenic material. The cavities or depressions can be formed by employing a mold possessing a lid having indentations therein. Fig. 4 depicts mold 10 having lid 20 with protruding indentations 30 therein. Alternatively, the cavities or depressions can be formed by cutting the osteoimplant.

The osteoimplant can assume a determined or regular form or configuration such as a sheet, plate, disk, cone, pin, screw, tube, tooth, tooth root, bone or portion of bone, wedge or portion of wedge, cylinder, threaded cylinder (to name but a few). Reference can be made to the photographs of Fig. 1-3 which depict various useful embodiments of the invention. The osteoimplants of Figs. 1-3 were produced using the method described herein. It can be readily seen that the implants are porous and flexible in nature. The osteoimplant can be cut either in the dry state or in the wetted state. The osteoimplant can be utilized in a wide variety of orthopedic, periodontal, neurosurgical and oral and maxillofacial surgical procedures such as the repair of simple and compound fractures and non-unions, external and internal fixations, joint reconstructions such as arthrodesis, general arthroplasty, cup arthroplasty of the hip, femoral and humeral head replacement, femoral head surface replacement and total joint replacement, repairs of the vertebral column including spinal fusion and internal

fixation, tumor surgery, e.g., deficit filling, discectomy, laminectomy, excision of spinal cord tumors, anterior cervical and thoracic operations, repairs of spinal injuries, scoliosis, lordosis and kyphosis treatments, intermaxillary fixation of fractures, mentoplasty, temporomandibular joint replacement, alveolar ridge augmentation and reconstruction, onlay
5 bone grafts, implant placement and revision, sinus lifts, etc. Specific bones which can be repaired or replaced with the osteoimplant herein include the ethmoid, frontal, nasal, occipital, parietal, temporal, mandible, maxilla, zygomatic, cervical vertebra, thoracic vertebra, lumbar vertebra, sacrum, rib, sternum, clavicle, scapula, humerus, radius, ulna, carpal bones, metacarpal bones, phalanges, ilium, ischium, pubis, femur, tibia, fibula, patella,
10 calcaneus, tarsal and metatarsal bones. The osteoimplant can be implanted at the bone repair site, if desired, using any suitable affixation means, e.g., sutures, staples, bioadhesives, and the like. In accordance with one embodiment, the osteoimplant is configured and dimensioned as cylinders of approximately 5 mm diameter, 1 cm long that can be placed into tooth extraction sockets.

15 The following examples illustrate the practice of the present invention and in no way limit the scope of the claims appended hereto.

EXAMPLE 1

Process of making a species-specific osteoimplant with defined dimensions.

Species-specific (Rhesus Monkey) long bones were aseptically cleaned. The cortical
20 bone was processed in the bone milling apparatus described in U.S. Patent No. 5,607,269 to yield 65 grams of elongate bone particles. The elongate bone particles were placed in a reactor and allowed to soak for 5 – 10 minutes in 0.6N HCl plus 20-2000 ppm nonionic surfactant solution. Following drainage of the HCl/surfactant, 0.6N HCl at 15ml per gram of total bone was introduced into the reactor along with the elongate bone particles. The
25 reaction proceeded for 40-50 minutes. Following drainage through a sieve, the resulting

demineralized elongate bone particles were rinsed three times with sterile, deionized water at 15ml per gram of total bone, being replaced at 15 minute intervals. Following drainage of the water, the bone particles were covered in alcohol and allowed to soak for at least 30 minutes. The alcohol was then drained and the bone particles were rinsed with sterile deionized water.

5 The bone particles were then contacted with a mixture of 4.5 ml glycerol per gram of dry bone particles and 10.5 ml sterile, deionized water per gram of dry bone particles for at least 60 minutes. Excess liquid was drained and the resulting liquid composition containing approximately 11 (w/v) demineralized elongate bone particles was transferred to a 11cm X 11cm mold containing a lid having a plurality of protruding indentations such as those

10 depicted in Fig. 4. The dimensions of the protrusions were specific for the size of the osteoimplant required for the Rhesus monkey. The lid was gently placed on the mold such that the indentations became immersed into the liquid composition to exert as little pressure on the composition as possible. The mold was then placed in an oven at 46°C for 4 hours. The composition was then frozen overnight at -70°C and then lyophilized for 48 hours.

15 Following lyophilization, the mold was disassembled and the formed composition was cut into individual pieces that contained troughs corresponding to the dimensions of the lid protrusions. The resulting pieces had dimensions of 4.5 cm in length, 2.5 cm in width and about 8 mm in height with trough dimensions of 3.5 cm in length, 1 cm in width and 4 mm of depth.

20 The resulting composition was cohesive, flexible, and sponge-like with an obvious continuous three-dimensional structure possessing visible open pores. The implant had a defined shape including the indentations made by the lid protrusions, did not require rehydration before use, and was more rapidly hydratable in comparison to Grafton®Flex. The material retained its shape once wetted with fluids and freezing was not required for storage.

25 The density of bone is based on calculation of the defined mold volume used and the

amount of demineralized bone particles used to fill the volume of the mold. In making the composition described in this example, 12g demineralized fibers occupied a volume of 105cm³. Therefore, the density was approximately 0.114g of bone/cm³. These calculations are approximate as there can be a range in weights (about 10-20g) and a range in volumes of about 100-120cm³ (which can be defined by the dimensions of the mold used).

EXAMPLE 2

Evidence of Osteoinduction by Grafton DBM in Non-Human Primate Spine Fusion.

While autogenous iliac crest bone graft remains the “gold standard”, much work continues to identify viable bone graft extenders, enhancers, and substitutes. While several demineralized bone matrix formulations have been shown to be variably osteoinductive in rodent ectopic bone assays, few have demonstrated efficacy in higher species and more challenging applications such as posterolateral spine fusion. To date, none have been tested in a non-human primate posterolateral spine fusion model which has been previously determined to be extremely challenging with less than 40% of animals achieving successful fusion with autogenous iliac crest bone graft. The purpose of this example was to test the osteoimplant described in Example 1 for evidence of osteoinduction and its use as an extender/enhancer for autogenous bone graft in a non-human primate.

Four skeletally mature rhesus macaques underwent single level lumbar posterolateral arthrodesis through a Wiltse muscle-splitting approach under general anesthesia. The transverse processes were decorticated with an electric burr. Autogenous iliac crest bone graft was harvested bilaterally through separate fascial incisions. In these four animals, rhesus-specific osteoimplant material (described in Example 1) was implanted with the usual autograft (4g) on one side of the spine and one half the usual autograft (2g) on the opposite side. Radiographs were taken at intervals until euthanasia at 24 weeks. The lumbar spines

were excised and palpated manually to determine fusion status as fused or not fused and then underwent CT scanning to visualize the amount of bone formation. Radiographs and CT scans were evaluated blindly and assessed semi-quantitatively for the area of the fusion mass (3=good, 2=fair, 1=poor) and the amount of bridging between the transverse processes on each side (0=<25%, 1=25%, 2=50%, 3=75%, 4=100%). Points were added for each site in each animal. Three of four monkeys receiving the osteoimplant plus autograft were graded as fused. Six of eight sites in the were rated as "good" for area of fusion mass on CT (computer tomography) scans. Six of eight sites had at least 50% bridging. The quality and amount of bone was better in the osteoimplant group and best with the 4g of autograft. Although the assessment of bone formation was semi-quantitative, given the spectrum of fusions previously obtained in this model with autograft alone, these data support evidence of osteoinduction of the osteoimplant in a challenging model. These data support the role of this osteoimplant as an osteoinductive graft extender and graft enhancer in rhesus posterolateral spine fusion.

15

EXAMPLE 3

Implantation of Osteoimplant in a human patient to promote spinal fusion.

20

Human-specific osteoimplant was made in the same manner described in Example 1. However, the mold dimensions and final dimensions of the osteoimplant were altered to adjust to the approximate size required for human posterolateral spinal fusion procedure (known by those skilled in the art). The dimensions of the osteoimplant pieces were approximately 5.0cm in length, 2.5cm in width and approximately 1cm in height with trough dimensions 4cm in length, 1.5cm in width and depth approximately 0.7cm. The trough design specifically allowed for the surgeon to fill the center of the osteoimplant with autograft or allograft or both. Autograft is usually obtained from local bone at the site of the

25

procedure, or marrow, or iliac crest or a combination. The fluids rapidly dispersed within the osteoimplant hydrating the osteoimplant. The osteoimplant is placed either trough down facing the decorticated transverse processes or trough facing away from the decorticated transverse processes to allow blood to be absorbed by the sponge-like nature of the osteoimplant. The osteoimplnat remains as a three-dimensional cohesive structure retaining the autograft or allograft or both at the implant site. The surgery then follows usual closure procedure known to those skilled in the art.

EXAMPLE 4

Evaluation of the Osteoinductive Potential of Example 1.

The osteoinductive potential of Example 3 (human-specific osteoimplant) for posterolateral fusion (PLF) was evaluated using the standard heterotopic osteoinductive implant model (see, Edwards JT, Diegmann MH, Scarborough NL, Osteoinduction of human demineralized bone: Characterization in an animal model, Clin Orthop Rel Res 357:219228 (1998) which is a modification of Urist MR, Bone formation by autoinduction, Science, 150:893-899 (1965)) . Implants are placed in the hind limb, intramuscular sites of athymic rats and evaluated histologically after 28 days.

Animal Model

The study was conducted in the athymic (nude) rat to minimize the potential for a cross species incompatibility response to xenograft tissue implants. The hind-limb intramuscular site is ideal for the initial determination of heterotopic bone induction properties of implant materials, as bone is not present in this area.

Implant Placement

The study utilized a singular intramuscular (IM) implantation site in each hind limb of

the animals. Different specimen types were placed in the sites in a randomized fashion, such that the same animal did not have the same treatment in both hind limbs. To provide a common positive control over all animals, a single 40mg sample of rat DBM powder was placed intramuscularly over the left pectoralis (LP) muscle on the left side of each rat.

- 5 Animals were allowed normal activities following surgical procedures. Four samples of each material were used for analysis.

Procedure

- Briefly, rats were anesthetized with a mixture of ketamine (250mg), xylazine (11mg), and physiological saline (10ml). The dosage is 3.6ml/kg body weight administered
- 10 intraperitoneally. Aseptic surgical procedures were carried out in a laminar airflow hood. A 1 cm skin incision was made on each upper hind limb using a lateral approach and the skin was separated from the muscle by blunt dissection. A superficial incision aligned with the muscle fiber plane was made to allow for insertion of the tips of the scissors. Blunt
- 15 devitalized fibers was made using a blunt syringe. In each case, the skin was closed with metal clips.

- Rats were euthanized with CO₂ following 28-day implantation time. Implant materials were located by palpitation, retrieved by blunt dissection and cleaned of the surrounding tissue by careful trimming. An observer blinded to implant type performed a
- 20 macroscopic evaluation of the implant material. Color, vascularity, hardness and integrity were scored according to the scheme outlined in Table I; the highest score for the most robust response would be 1, while a specimen showing little or no osteoinductive potential would score 0. Experience with this model has shown a high correlation between visual observations and histological observations of DBM implant performance.

Histology

Retrieved materials were fixed in neutral buffered formalin, dehydrated in a series of graded ethanol solutions, embedded in JB-4 (glycol methacrylate, Polysciences, Inc., Warrington, PA) and sectioned. Toluidine blue was used for staining and each material was
 5 evaluated using a light microscope at magnifications up to 200X.

A numerical score of 0, 1, 2, 3, or 4 was given to grade the extent of new bone formation for each explant when examined under the light microscope. Assignment of scores was according to the descriptions given in Table II below. Histological sections for each explant were scored independently by two individuals blinded to treatment groups.

10 Following histological analysis, average scores were calculated for each material type or sample group. Based on previous experience with this animal model, each group was assigned an assessment of osteoinductive potential based on the average histological scores. Sample groups scoring 0 show “no osteoinductive response”; groups scoring up to 2 show a “slight osteoinductive response” and groups scoring 3 or above show a “robust osteoinductive
 15 response”.

Table I
Macroscopic Observation Scoring Guidelines

Color	White (W)	Gray(G)	Red(R)
Vascularity	None (N)	Some (S)	Robust (R)
Hardness	Mushy (M)	Firm (F)	Hard(H)
Integrity	Diffuse (D)	Flat (F)	Nodule (N)
Score	0	0.5	1

Table II
Scoring of Histological Sections

Score	New Bone Formation
0	No new bone
1	Few areas of new bone formation

2	Numerous areas of new bone formation
3	Greater than 50% of nodule involved in new bone formation
4	Greater than 75% of nodule involved in new bone formation

Results

Histology showed evidence of robust cartilage, bone and marrow formation in the samples. Scores for the individual samples were averaged and the mean \pm SD of the

5 osteoinductive score for 13 individual samples derived from Example 3 was 3.3 ± 0.7 .

Historically, demineralized bone powder produces a comparable osteoinductive score of 3.6 ± 0.8 while guanidine hydrochloride extracted samples routinely display lack of inductivity.

The foregoing results demonstrate that the osteoimplant of the invention possesses excellent osteoinductivity with the additional advantage of being a cohesive three-dimensional, lower

10 density, porous matrix.

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. An osteoimplant which comprises a shaped, coherent, three-dimensional porous matrix of elongate demineralized bone particles, wherein said matrix possesses a bulk
5 density of lower than about 0.3 g/cm^3 .
2. The osteoimplant of Claim 1 wherein the matrix further contains one or more biocompatible components.
- 10 3. The osteoimplant of Claim 2 wherein the biocompatible components are selected from the group consisting of biocompatible binder, filler, fiber, plasticizer, biostatic/biocidal agent, surface active agent, and bioactive substance.
4. The osteoimplant of Claim 1 wherein the elongate bone particles represent
15 at least about 50 weight percent of the matrix.
5. The osteoimplant of Claim 1 wherein the elongate bone particles represent at least about 60 weight percent of the matrix.
- 20 6. The osteoimplant of Claim 1 wherein the elongate bone particles represent at least about 90 weight percent of the matrix.
7. The osteoimplant of Claim 1 wherein the matrix further comprises bone particles possessing dimensions other than elongate.

25

8. The osteoimplant of Claim 1 wherein the matrix further comprises nondemineralized bone particles.

5 9. The osteoimplant of Claim 1 wherein the elongate demineralized bone particles are selected from the group consisting of fully, partially and superficially demineralized bone particles, and combinations thereof.

10 10. The osteoimplant of Claim 1 wherein the elongate demineralized bone particles possess a median length to median thickness ratio of at least about 5:1 up to about 500:1.

11. The osteoimplant of Claim 1 wherein the matrix comprises less than about 5 weight percent water and is flexible.

15

12. The osteoimplant of Claim 1 wherein the matrix comprises less than about 5 weight percent water and possesses an osteoinductivity of at least 2 when measured in an athymic rat assay.

20 13. The osteoimplant of Claim 1 wherein the elongate demineralized bone particles are cross-linked.

14. The osteoimplant of Claim 1 wherein the bulk density of the osteoimplant ranges from about 0.01 to about 0.3 g/cm³.

25

15. The osteoimplant of Claim 1 wherein the bulk density of the osteoimplant ranges from about 0.05 to about 0.2 g/cm³.

16. The osteoimplant of Claim 1 wherein the elongate demineralized bone particles are of allogenic origin.

17. The osteoimplant of Claim 1 in the shape of a sheet, plate, disk, cone, pin, screw, tube, tooth, tooth root, bone or portion of bone, wedge or portion of wedge, cylinder, and threaded cylinder.

10

18. A method of fabricating an osteoimplant which comprises:

- a. providing a quantity of elongate demineralized bone particles;
- b. mixing the elongate demineralized bone particles with a wetting agent comprising water to provide a liquid composition containing from about 5 to about 40 volume percent swollen, hydrated elongate demineralized bone particles;
- c. placing the liquid composition in a mold; and,
- d. heating the liquid composition in the substantial absence of pressure at a temperature above 35°C for a period of time sufficient to remove water present in the wetting agent to provide an osteoimplant comprising a shaped, coherent, three-dimensional porous matrix of elongate demineralized bone particles, wherein said matrix possesses a bulk density of lower than about 0.3 g/cm³.

20

19. The method of Claim 18 further comprising incorporating one or more biocompatible components in the liquid composition.

25

20. The method of Claim 19 wherein the biocompatible components are selected from the group consisting of biocompatible binder, filler, fiber, plasticizer, biostatic/biocidal agent, surface active agent, and bioactive substance.

5 21. The method of Claim 18 wherein the elongate demineralized bone particles represent at least about 50 weight percent of the matrix.

22. The method of Claim 18 wherein the elongate demineralized bone particles represent at least about 60 weight percent of the matrix.

10

23. The method of Claim 18 wherein the elongate demineralized bone particles represent at least about 90 weight percent of the matrix.

24. The method of Claim 19 wherein the biocompatible component comprises
15 bone particles possessing dimensions other than elongate.

25. The method of Claim 19 wherein the biocompatible component comprises nondemineralized bone particles.

20 26. The method of Claim 18 wherein the elongate demineralized bone particles are selected from the group consisting of fully, partially and superficially demineralized bone particles, and combinations thereof.

27. The method of Claim 18 wherein the elongate demineralized bone particles
25 possess a median length to median thickness ratio of at least about 5:1 up to about 500:1.

28. The method of Claim 18 wherein the wetting agent comprises water in combination with one or more components selected from the group consisting of organic protic solvent, physiological saline, concentrated saline solutions, sugar solutions, ionic solutions, liquid polyhydroxy compounds, and polyoxyalkylene compounds.
29. The method of Claim 18 wherein the wetting agent comprises water and polyhydroxy compound.
30. The method of Claim 29 wherein the polyhydroxy compound is glycerol.
31. The method of Claim 30 wherein the weight ratio of glycerol to water ranges from about 40:60 to about 5:95.
32. The method of Claim 18 wherein after heating step d, the osteoimplant is subjected to a further treatment step.
33. The method of Claim 32 wherein the further treatment step is selected from the group consisting of lyophilizing, crosslinking, re-mineralization, sterilization, machining, laser etching, welding, assembling of parts, cutting, milling and reactive etching.
34. The method of Claim 32 wherein the further treatment step is lyophilizing.
35. A method of repairing and/or treating bone comprising implanting at a bone repair site an osteoimplant which comprises a shaped, coherent, three-dimensional porous

matrix of elongate demineralized bone particles, wherein said matrix possesses a bulk density of lower than about 0.3 g/cm^3 .

36. The method of Claim 35 wherein the repaired bone is selected from the group
5 consisting of the ethmoid, frontal, nasal, occipital, parietal, temporal, mandible, maxilla, zygomatic, cervical vertebra, thoracic vertebra, lumbar vertebra, scapula, rib, sternum, clavicle, scapula, humerus, radius, ulna, carpal bones, metacarpal bones phalanges, ilium, ischium, pubis, femur, tibia, fibula, patella, calcaneus, tarsal and metatarsal bones.

10 37. A spinal fusion method which comprises:
providing an osteoimplant comprising a shaped, coherent, three-dimensional porous matrix of elongate demineralized bone particles, wherein said matrix possesses a bulk density of lower than about 0.3 g/cm^3 ; and
disposing said osteoimplant between adjacent vertebrae of the spine.

15 38. The spinal fusion method of Claim 37 wherein the osteoimplant comprises at least one cavity or depression which contains at least one bone-growth inducing substance therein.

20 39. The osteoimplant of Claim 1 comprising at least one cavity or depression.

40. The osteoimplant of Claim 39 wherein the cavity or depression contains a flowable osteogenic material.

25 41. The osteoimplant of Claim 40 wherein the flowable osteogenic material is

selected from the group consisting of autologous bone graft, bone marrow aspirate, demineralized bone matrix and bone morphogenic protein.

42. The osteoimplant produced by the method of Claim 18.

5




Fig. 1




Fig. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4